

ECONOMIC AND FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT**(REGULATIONS AND ORDERS)**

STD. 399 (REV. 12/2008)

See SAM Section 6601 - 6616 for Instructions and Code Citations

DEPARTMENT NAME Fish and Game Commission	CONTACT PERSON Craig Shuman	TELEPHONE NUMBER 310-869-6574
DESCRIPTIVE TITLE FROM NOTICE REGISTER OR FORM 400 Commercial and recreational fishing for Kellet's whelk		NOTICE FILE NUMBER Z

ECONOMIC IMPACT STATEMENT**A. ESTIMATED PRIVATE SECTOR COST IMPACTS (Include calculations and assumptions in the rulemaking record.)**

1. Check the appropriate box(es) below to indicate whether this regulation:

- | | |
|--|---|
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> a. Impacts businesses and/or employees | <input type="checkbox"/> e. Imposes reporting requirements |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> b. Impacts small businesses | <input type="checkbox"/> f. Imposes prescriptive instead of performance |
| <input type="checkbox"/> c. Impacts jobs or occupations | <input type="checkbox"/> g. Impacts individuals |
| <input type="checkbox"/> d. Impacts California competitiveness | <input type="checkbox"/> h. None of the above (Explain below. Complete the Fiscal Impact Statement as appropriate.) |

h. (cont.) _____

(If any box in Items 1 a through g is checked, complete this Economic Impact Statement.)

2. Enter the total number of businesses impacted: 76 Describe the types of businesses (Include nonprofits.): Commercial fishermen76 different fisherman landed Kellet's whelk in 2010.Enter the number or percentage of total businesses impacted that are small businesses: 100%3. Enter the number of businesses that will be created: 0 eliminated: 0Explain: See ISOR4. Indicate the geographic extent of impacts: ☐ Statewide ☒ Local or regional (List areas.): Southern California5. Enter the number of jobs created: 0 or eliminated: 0 Describe the types of jobs or occupations impacted: _____

6. Will the regulation affect the ability of California businesses to compete with other states by making it more costly to produce goods or services here?

☐ Yes☒ No

If yes, explain briefly: _____

B. ESTIMATED COSTS (Include calculations and assumptions in the rulemaking record.)1. What are the total statewide dollar costs that businesses and individuals may incur to comply with this regulation over its lifetime? \$ unknown

a. Initial costs for a small business: \$ _____ Annual ongoing costs: \$ _____ Years: _____

b. Initial costs for a typical business: \$ _____ Annual ongoing costs: \$ _____ Years: _____

c. Initial costs for an individual: \$ _____ Annual ongoing costs: \$ _____ Years: _____

d. Describe other economic costs that may occur: There are no increased costs or new fees, or new reporting requirements.

ECONOMIC AND FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT cont. (STD. 399, Rev. 12/2008)

2. If multiple industries are impacted, enter the share of total costs for each industry: _____

3. If the regulation imposes reporting requirements, enter the annual costs a typical business may incur to comply with these requirements. (Include the dollar costs to do programming, record keeping, reporting, and other paperwork, whether or not the paperwork must be submitted.): \$ _____

4. Will this regulation directly impact housing costs? ☐ Yes ☒ No If yes, enter the annual dollar cost per housing unit: _____ and the number of units: _____

5. Are there comparable Federal regulations? ☐ Yes ☒ No Explain the need for State regulation given the existence or absence of Federal regulations: Fish and Game Code Section 7090 requires the Commission to regulate emerging fisheries.

Enter any additional costs to businesses and/or individuals that may be due to State - Federal differences: \$ _____

C. ESTIMATED BENEFITS (Estimation of the dollar value of benefits is not specifically required by rulemaking law, but encouraged.)

1. Briefly summarize the benefits that may result from this regulation and who will benefit: The proposed regulations are designed to promote a long-term sustainable fishery, this is anticipated to result in increased fishing opportunities over the long-term.

2. Are the benefits the result of: ☐ specific statutory requirements, or ☒ goals developed by the agency based on broad statutory authority?
Explain: The Legislature provides the Fish and Game Commission authority to regulate emerging fisheries (FGC Section 7090)

3. What are the total statewide benefits from this regulation over its lifetime? \$ Unknown

D. ALTERNATIVES TO THE REGULATION (Include calculations and assumptions in the rulemaking record. Estimation of the dollar value of benefits is not specifically required by rulemaking law, but encouraged.)

1. List alternatives considered and describe them below. If no alternatives were considered, explain why not: A variety of alternatives are provided in the ISOR. All of the alternatives were deemed infeasible due to increased costs or logistical constraints.

2. Summarize the total statewide costs and benefits from this regulation and each alternative considered:

Regulation:	Benefit: \$ <u>Unknown</u>	Cost: \$ <u>Unknown</u>
Alternative 1:	Benefit: \$ _____	Cost: \$ _____
Alternative 2:	Benefit: \$ _____	Cost: \$ _____

3. Briefly discuss any quantification issues that are relevant to a comparison of estimated costs and benefits for this regulation or alternatives: It is not possible to predict how fishing behavior will change in response to the proposed regulations so it is not possible to accurately estimate costs and benefits. The proposed regulations are intended to promote a long-term sustainable fishery.

4. Rulemaking law requires agencies to consider performance standards as an alternative, if a regulation mandates the use of specific technologies or equipment, or prescribes specific actions or procedures. Were performance standards considered to lower compliance costs? ☐ Yes ☒ No

Explain: _____

E. MAJOR REGULATIONS (Include calculations and assumptions in the rulemaking record.) Cal/EPA boards, offices, and departments are subject to the following additional requirements per Health and Safety Code section 57005.

ECONOMIC AND FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT cont. (STD. 399, Rev. 12/2008)

1. Will the estimated costs of this regulation to California business enterprises exceed \$10 million? ☐ Yes ☒ No (If No, skip the rest of this section.)

2. Briefly describe each equally as an effective alternative, or combination of alternatives, for which a cost-effectiveness analysis was performed:

Alternative 1: _____

Alternative 2: _____

3. For the regulation, and each alternative just described, enter the estimated total cost and overall cost-effectiveness ratio:

Regulation: \$ _____ Cost-effectiveness ratio: \$ _____

Alternative 1: \$ _____ Cost-effectiveness ratio: \$ _____

Alternative 2: \$ _____ Cost-effectiveness ratio: \$ _____

FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT

A. FISCAL EFFECT ON LOCAL GOVERNMENT (Indicate appropriate boxes 1 through 6 and attach calculations and assumptions of fiscal impact for the current year and two subsequent Fiscal Years.)

☐ 1. Additional expenditures of approximately \$ _____ in the current State Fiscal Year which are reimbursable by the State pursuant to Section 6 of Article XIII B of the California Constitution and Sections 17500 et seq. of the Government Code. Funding for this reimbursement:

☐ a. is provided in _____, Budget Act of _____ or Chapter _____, Statutes of _____

☐ b. will be requested in the _____ Governor's Budget for appropriation in Budget Act of _____
(FISCAL YEAR)

☐ 2. Additional expenditures of approximately \$ _____ in the current State Fiscal Year which are not reimbursable by the State pursuant to Section 6 of Article XIII B of the California Constitution and Sections 17500 et seq. of the Government Code because this regulation:

☐ a. implements the Federal mandate contained in _____

☐ b. implements the court mandate set forth by the _____
court in the case of _____ vs. _____

☐ c. implements a mandate of the people of this State expressed in their approval of Proposition No. _____ at the _____
election; (DATE)

☐ d. is issued only in response to a specific request from the _____
_____, which is/are the only local entity(s) affected;

☐ e. will be fully financed from the _____ authorized by Section _____
(FEES, REVENUE, ETC.)
_____ of the _____ Code;

☐ f. provides for savings to each affected unit of local government which will, at a minimum, offset any additional costs to each such unit;

☐ g. creates, eliminates, or changes the penalty for a new crime or infraction contained in _____

☐ 3. Savings of approximately \$ _____ annually.

☐ 4. No additional costs or savings because this regulation makes only technical, non-substantive or clarifying changes to current law regulations.

ECONOMIC AND FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT cont. (STD. 399, Rev. 12/2008)

☒ 5. No fiscal impact exists because this regulation does not affect any local entity or program.

☐ 6. Other.

B. FISCAL EFFECT ON STATE GOVERNMENT (Indicate appropriate boxes 1 through 4 and attach calculations and assumptions of fiscal impact for the current year and two subsequent Fiscal Years.)

☐ 1. Additional expenditures of approximately \$ 0 in the current State Fiscal Year. It is anticipated that State agencies will:

☐ a. be able to absorb these additional costs within their existing budgets and resources.

☐ b. request an increase in the currently authorized budget level for the _____ fiscal year.

☐ 2. Savings of approximately \$ _____ in the current State Fiscal Year.

☐ 3. No fiscal impact exists because this regulation does not affect any State agency or program.

☒ 4. Other. Depending on the option chosen, the Department may be required to monitor landings and close the fishery if the TAC is reached and notify interested parties of the closure.

C. FISCAL EFFECT ON FEDERAL FUNDING OF STATE PROGRAMS (Indicate appropriate boxes 1 through 4 and attach calculations and assumptions of fiscal impact for the current year and two subsequent Fiscal Years.)

☐ 1. Additional expenditures of approximately \$ _____ in the current State Fiscal Year.

☐ 2. Savings of approximately \$ _____ in the current State Fiscal Year.

☒ 3. No fiscal impact exists because this regulation does not affect any federally funded State agency or program.

☐ 4. Other.

FISCAL OFFICER SIGNATURE 		DATE <u>10/12/11</u>
AGENCY SECRETARY ¹ APPROVAL/CONCURRENCE 	PROGRAM BUDGET MANAGER	DATE <u>12 Oct. 2011</u>
DEPARTMENT OF FINANCE ² APPROVAL/CONCURRENCE 		DATE

1. The signature attests that the agency has completed the STD.399 according to the instructions in SAM sections 6601-6616, and understands the impacts of the proposed rulemaking. State boards, offices, or department not under an Agency Secretary must have the form signed by the highest ranking official in the organization.

2. Finance approval and signature is required when SAM sections 6601-6616 require completion of Fiscal Impact Statement in the STD.399.